

Minneapolis, MN 55344-7704

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,014	06/23/2003	Steve C. MacWilliams	00725.0356-US-C3	7672	
7590 05/05/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Michael B. Lasky			HENDERSON, MARK T		
Altera Law Gro Suite 100	oup		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6500 City West Parkway			3722		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/602,014	MACWILLIAMS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark T Henderson	3722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.	3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)-	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	* **						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	., ,					

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 26 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 26 is a duplicate of claim 21.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,014

Art Unit:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

2. Claim 19 recites the limitation "said folder" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,014

Art Unit:

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27, 30, and 31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,581,971.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both disclose a folder blank comprising: a first panel, second panel, an elongated tab, a first fold line, identifying indicia printed on the tab, an adhesive strip, and removable labels.
- 4. Claims 32-35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6, 9 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,906,397.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both disclose a folder blank comprising: a first panel and a connected second panel, both forming a folder; the first panel having an elongated tab on one edge, wherein the tab has a length and a width with a fold line extending along the length; whereby the tab is folded along the fold line to give the tab a first and second portion; identifying indicia printed on at least the first side

Application/Control Number: 10/602,014

Art Unit:

and identical information printed on the other portion; and wherein the indicia is a monochromatic pattern.

However, MacWilliams (5,906,397) does not disclose: wherein the indicia is a repeating pattern of a plurality of color bars of four colors; wherein the pattern repeats four times; and wherein a first color forms one bar.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include any type of indica, since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. The fact that the content of the printed matter (pattern of colored bars) placed on the substrate (tab) may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of information index display does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to place any type of indicia on the tab portion, since applicant has not disclosed the criticality of having the particular indica, and invention would function equally as well with any type of indexing indicia display.

Art Unit:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 19, 21, 26, 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hure (5,174,606).

Hure discloses in Fig. 1, 2, and 3b, a folder blank comprising: a first flap (18) having a first length and width, a second flap (22) having a second length and width, wherein the flaps are separated by a fold line (32f); a first tab (34) being foldable about a first fold line (32b); a second tab (28) being foldable about a second fold line (32c); the first tab fold line (32b) being disposed such that when the first tab is folded about the first tab line (32b) indicia printed on the first tab on one side of the first tab line can be seen from a front side of the folder blank and form a back side of the folder blank; wherein the first tab and second tab are on opposite sides of the folder blank (as seen in Fig. 1 and 3b); and wherein the first an second tab are positioned along a single side edge of the folder blank (as seen in Fig. 2).

Art Unit:

Prior Art References

The prior art references listed in the attached PTO-892, but not used in a rejection of the

claims, are cited for (their/its) structure. MacWilliams ('286), Cobble, Cunningham, MacWilliams

('547), Barber, Verhines, Barber et al, Hicinbothem, MacWilliams ('711), and French Patent

('885) discloses similar folder blanks.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can

be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on

(703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700

receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

MTH

April 30, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700